

# **STUDENT EXIT SURVEY**

*Academic year 2017-18*

## FEEDBACK ANALYSIS AND REPORT

### ANALYSIS:

- ❖ The students are the major important stakeholder for the development of our institution through their valuable feedback. The Rector, Secretary, Principal and the IQAC coordinator interacts with the students to get their opinion about various aspects of our college.
- ❖ Overall 103 outgoing postgraduate students were involved in giving feedback for the institution.
- ❖ The survey of the feedback was sent to all the heads and co-ordinator of various departments to encourage the faculties for the progress of their quality teaching and the teaching methodology.

### Parameters of the student feedback Form:

The student feedback has the following parameters


- ❖ Usefulness of the PG course
- ❖ Teachers
- ❖ Teaching methods
- ❖ Services rendered by nonteaching staffs
- ❖ Extension program (STAND)
- ❖ Infrastructure facilities on the campus
- ❖ Extra-curricular activities
- ❖ Co-curricular activities
- ❖ Overall experience

Parameters	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory
<b>I. USEFULLNESS OF THE PG COURSE</b>				
1. The Course has an updated Syllabus	12	50	30	6
2. Relevance of the Course to Secure job	6	48	36	8
3. Relevance of the Course to go forward in research	13	57	24	4
4. Dissertation / Project	18	39	36	5
5. Viva Voce	14	50	29	5

<b>II. TEACHERS</b>				
1. Quality of teaching	19	50	25	4
2. Teaching methodology in general	14	48	30	6
3. Treatment by teachers of other department	13	51	27	7
4. Level of help received from department association	18	45	31	4
5. Communicative ability of the Teachers	23	49	25	1
<b>III. TEACHING METHODS</b>				
1. Quality of questions in the CIA Test	10	56	27	5
2. Answer Papers are valued correctly / objectively	40	43	12	3
3. Semester Question paper covering the entire portion Unit wise	35	45	17	1
4. Quality of the Semester Question paper	12	62	22	2
5. Valuation of the Semester Examination paper	11	46	35	6
<b>IV. SERVICES RENDERED BY NON-TEACHING STAFF</b>				
1. Usefulness of non-teaching staff	14	35	44	5
2. Attitude of non-teaching staff towards students	7	45	36	10
3. Acceptance level at the counter by non-teaching staff?.	13	52	23	10
<b>V. EXTENSION PROGRAMME (STAND)</b>				
1. Usefulness of extension programme	18	45	28	7
2. Attitude of the extension staff towards students	12	56	23	7
<b>VI. INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES ON THE CAMPUS</b>				
1. Laboratory facilities made available	23	44	27	4
2. Library facilities made available	40	40	15	3
3. Canteen facilities made available	20	40	23	15
4. Classroom made available	19	53	19	7
5. Internet facilities made available	34	42	14	8
6. Maintenance of the campus, classroom etc.,	22	54	15	7
7. Availability and maintenance of the TOILETS in the campus	6	41	25	26
<b>VII. EXTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES</b>				
1. Sports facilities made available	11	45	35	7
2. Accessibility of Sports facilities	10	45	37	6


3. Functioning of NCC, NSS, AICUF, Fine Arts, Vincent de Paul Society, YRC/RRC, Consumer Forum, Democracy Forum, Women's Forum, Enviro Club	18	45	29	6
<b>VIII. CO-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES</b>				
1. Department debates/talks/seminars/discussions, etc.,	21	56	16	5
2. Functioning of department association	19	50	26	3
3. Conduct of department festival	26	44	24	4
4. About Student Service Centre	8	54	29	7
<b>IX. OVERALL EXPERIENCE AT ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE</b>				
Overall Experience	47	40	5	6

**I. USEFULLNESS OF THE PG COURSE:**




From the above analysis, it is observed that 48.1% of the students are satisfied for the parameter “The relevance of the course is job secured”. 50% of the students have expressed that the syllabus of each course has good updated information. It is found that 38.1% of the students are satisfied about the guidance for the preparation of dissertation/project and the external examination conducted by the department. 57.1% of the students felt good for the parameter “The relevance of the course to go forward in research”

## II. TEACHERS:




From the above analysis, it is observed that majority of the students expressed that the quality of the teaching and teaching methodology is good. 48% of the students appreciated the communicative ability of the faculty. 4.6% students were unsatisfied for the level of help received from department association. 52% of the students felt good for the parameter “Treatment by teachers of other department”.

## III TEACHING METHODS:




From the above analysis, it is observed 61.2% of the students felt good with the valuation of the semester examination paper. 42.4% of the students have felt excellent for the parameter “Valuation of the answer papers are correctly and objectively”. 35.8% of the students were satisfied for the quality of the semester question paper.

#### IV SERVICES RENDERED BY NON-TEACHING STAFF




The above graph shows that 44.3% students were satisfied about the usefulness of non-teaching staff. Also 36.4% students were satisfied for the attitude of non-teaching staff. From the above analysis, it is significant to note that 5% of students felt unsatisfied for the services rendered by non-teaching staff.

#### V EXTENSION PROGRAMME (STAND)




Every year our institution is organizing the STAND extension programme for the first year post graduate student. From the above analysis, it is observed that 25.6% students felt good for the usefulness of this extension programme. Also 22.5% students were satisfied for the guidance and encouraging attitude of the faculties towards the students during this extension activity.

## VI INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES ON THE CAMPUS




From the above analysis, it must be noted that 15.3% students were unsatisfied for the canteen facilities provided by our institution. It is also observed that 40.1% students felt good with the availability of the canteen facilities in our institution. 15.4% students were satisfied for the availability of library facilities. 25% students were satisfied with the availability and maintenance of the toilets in the campus.

## VII EXTRA CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES:



From the above analysis about the extra-curricular activities, majority of the students felt good about the functioning of NCC, NSS, AICUF, Fine arts and other extra-curricular activities. 7.5% students were unsatisfied about the availability of the sports facilities.

## VIII CO-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES




From the above analysis about the co-curricular activities, 15.4% expressed satisfaction about the department debates, talks and seminar discussions arranged by various departments. Also 28.1%



students were satisfied about the student service centre which provides stationary and other necessities.

### **IX OVERALL EXPERIENCE AT ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE:**



From the above analysis, most of the students expressed their overall experience about our institution as excellent and good.

## Action taken report


---

### Recommendations:

- Organizing and planning more placement opportunities for the students; both on campus and off campus.
- Provide communication skill and soft skill training for the students.
- Organize mock interview sessions to improve their self-confidence.

### Action Taken:

- Organizing and planning more placement opportunities for the students; both on campus and off campus was done
- Communication skill and soft skill training for the students was given
- Mock tests were conducted for Bank Examinations.

  
(Dr. A. LOURDUSAMY)  
Coordinator of IQAC

  
**PRINCIPAL**  
**ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE**  
**(Autonomous)**  
**PALAYAMKOTTAI - 627 002.**